

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/513,116	02/25/2000	Eric Mao		6642
7590 12/11/2003			EXAMINER	
Leong C. Lei			EASHOO, MARK	
PmB#1008, 1867 Ygnacio Valley Road Walnut Creek, CA 94598-3214			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		MAO, ERIC
Advisory Action	09/513,116	Art Unit
	Examiner  Mark Eagher Ph D	1732
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	E THIS APPLICATION IN CONvoid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  ation. A proper reply to a  n places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	A	Tak Cosh
		Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1732

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's agruments filed 11/12/03 have not been resonded to, because they are direct to matters which require further consideration and/or search...